Comonads

Monads are popular.

Monads are not special.

Categories

A collection C of objects connected with arrows, such that: $\forall object \ X \in Obj(C) \ . \ id_X = X \to X \in Arr(C)$ $\forall objects \ X, Y, Z \in Obj(C) \ .$ $f = X \to Y \in Arr(C) \land$ $g = Y \to Z \in Arr(C)$ $\Rightarrow f \circ g = X \to Z \in Arr(C)$

Objects

The only evidence we have for the existence of any object X is the arrow $id_X = X \rightarrow X$. The inequality of two id arrows is what distinguishes two objects. Otherwise, the objects have no content or properties.

Arrows (Morphisms)

Defined by their **source** and **target**. They define the semantics of a category.

Functors

Structure-preserving mappings between categories. They map objects to objects, and morphisms between two objects to morphisms between their respective corresponding objects.

Functors

Structure-preserving mappings between categories. They map objects to objects, and morphisms between two objects to morphisms between their respective corresponding objects.

Duals

Flipping all arrows sometimes yields great results.

Useful Functorial Structures

map, covariant functor:

$$(A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (F[A] \Rightarrow F[B])$$

contramap, contravariant functor:

$$(B \Rightarrow A) \Rightarrow (F[A] \Rightarrow F[B])$$

apply, applicative:

$$F[A \Rightarrow B] \Rightarrow (F[A] \Rightarrow F[B])$$

flatMap, monad:

$$(A \Rightarrow F[B]) \Rightarrow (F[A] \Rightarrow F[B])$$

coflatMap, comonad:

$$(F[A] \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow (F[A] \Rightarrow F[B])$$

Monad

```
trait Monad[F[_]] extends Functor[F] {
    // aka return
    def wrap[A]: A => F[A]
    // aka join
    def flatten[A]: F[F[A]] => F[A]
    // aka bind
    def flatMap[A, B]: (A => F[B]) => (F[A] => F[B])
}
```

Comonad

```
trait Comonad[F[_]] extends Functor[F] {
    // aka coreturn
    def extract[A]: F[A] => A
    // aka cojoin
    def duplicate[A]: F[A] => F[F[A]]
    // aka cobind, coflatMap
    def extend[A, B]: (F[A] => B) => F[A] => F[B]
}
```

Comonad

Comonadic laws

```
extend extract = id
extract . extend f = f
extend f . extend g = extend (f . extend g)
```

Reasoning

Monads: effectful computations required to produce values

Comonads: *contextual* computations required to *consume* values

- Annotated structures
- "Pointed" structures
- Functional Reactive Programming, Signal Processing

Annotated structures: F[A] => B interpreted as creating annotations of type B given a value of type F[A] (F is a functor, so fmap guarantees that the annotated structure will keep the same structure)

Annotated structures

A non-empty tree.

case class Tree[A](tip: A, sub: List[Tree[A]])

Annotated structures

A tree of all subtrees.

```
def duplicate: Tree[Tree[A]] =
   Tree(this, sub.map(_.duplicate))
```

Annotated structures

A tree of all subtrees that we can map over!

```
def duplicate: Tree[Tree[A]] =
   Tree(this, sub.map(_.duplicate))
duplicate(tree).map(f)
// is equivalent to
extend(tree)(f)
```

f takes a tree and performs some computation that required that tree's information (including not only its value but also its subtree)

Annotated structures

A tree of all subtrees that we can map over!

```
def duplicate: Tree[Tree[A]] =
   Tree(this, sub.map(_.duplicate))
duplicate(tree).map(f)
// is equivalent to
extend(tree)(f)
```

The result is a new tree mirroring tree, except that each node has f applied over the corresponding subtree (annotating it).

Annotated structures

A tree of all subtrees that we can **map** over!

// alias extend with =>>

exprTree =>> annotateTypes

The result is a new tree mirroring exprTree, except that each node has annotateTypes applied over the corresponding subtree.

"Pointed" structures: Duplicate can be understood as pointing at the input F[A] and giving us all "neighboring" substructures.

def duplicate[A]: F[A] => F[F[A]]

"Pointed" structures

Zipper-like structures, traversers, iterators...

```
case class Zip[A]
   (pre: List[A], now: A, post: List[A])
```

"Pointed" structures

Zipper-like structures, traversers, iterators...

Zip([-2, -3, ...], -1, [0, 1, ...])

"Pointed" structures

Zipper-like structures, traversers, iterators...

```
// helper function
def iterate[A](app: A => A, start: A): List[A] =
    start :: iterate(app, app(start))
def fmap[B](f: A => B): Zip[B] =
    Zip[B](pre.map(f), f(now), post.map(f))
def duplicate =
    Zip(
    iterate(shiftLeft _, this).tail, this,
    iterate(shiftRight _, this).tail)
```

"Pointed" structures

Zipper-like structures, traversers, iterators...

```
// these are trivial
def extract: A = now
def extend[B](f: Zip[A] => B): Zip[B] =
    coflatten.fmap(f)
```

"Pointed" structures

Cellular automata-like rule applications by extend ing over every point and getting its neighborhood from duplicate.

```
def rule(cell: Zip[Boolean]): Boolean = cell match {
   case Zip(a :: _, b, c :: _) =>
     !(a && b && !c || (a == c))
}
```

"Pointed" structures

Simple example of usage, in an image libraries (remember CS162? Look at this).

```
def blur(pixel: Pixel[Double]) = {
  val focus = pixel.get
  val before = pixel.shift(pixel.index - 1)
  val after = pixel.shift(pixel.index + 1)
  0.25 * before + 0.5 focus + 0.25 * after
}
def scale(pixel: Pixel[Double]) =
  pixel.get * 2
```

"Pointed" structures

Useful for image libraries (remember CS162? Look at this).

```
// We write functions that only "think" locally,
// in their environment
def blur(pixel: Pixel[Double]) = {
  val focus = pixel.get
  val before = pixel.shiftLeft.get
  val after = pixel.shiftRight.get
  0.25 * before + 0.5 focus + 0.25 * after
}
def scale(n: Double)(pixel: Pixel[Double]) =
  pixel.get * n
val image = Pixels.fromSeq(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0)
val result = image =>> blur =>> scale(0.5)
```

"Pointed" structures

Useful for image libraries (remember CS162? Look at this).

Possibly useful for shader languages which do pipelining!

Functional Reactive Programming, **Signal Processing**: Both of these use streams, and streams are inherently comonadic.

Modelling OO programming: objects (collections of fields and member functions) can be built from scratch using three comonads (Traced + Stream + Store = Command Pattern).

How do we get comonads for cofree?

We can generalize the comonadic structure by taking a functor (*any* functor) and putting it into the Cofree comonad (it's a comonad cogenerator!).

```
case class Cofree[F[_], A]
 (counit: A, sub: F[Cofree[F,A]]) {
  def duplicate(implicit F: Functor[F]):
    Cofree[F,Cofree[F,A]] =
    Cofree(this, F.map(sub)(_.duplicate))
  def extract = counit
}
```

In Practice

With (functional) languages being more used to working with monads, sampling code from libraries shows that monads are used for **external** interfaces, while many **internal** contracts are based on chained comonads.

In Practice

Both Dan Piponi and Edward Kmett say to never compose comonads over monads, as they end up being very unoptimized. Composing the other way around seems to be the natural solution for languages we have now (monads out, comonads inside).

In Type Systems

Monads represent side-effects, and can be added to the type system as **effects**. These effects are requirements to get to the output.

print : string \rightarrow unit & { io }

Comonads represent context, and can be added to the type system as **coeffects**. These effects are requirements on the input to even start the computation.

```
stopwatch : unit @ { clock } \rightarrow int
```

Coestions

Cothank you